

EXHIBIT #10: P&G SYSTEM OF ACCOUNTS--EVALUATION TABLE for KANSAS CITYS

May-06

Note regarding the sites chosen for display: Sites chosen for display here are those with new authorization requirements. These three sites are categorized as new work and fall under the P&G system of accounts evaluation practices as it relates to planning new projects. The remaining three sites (NKC Harlem, NKC National Starch area, and Fairfax BPU floodwall) are categorized as design deficiency corrections to be undertaken with existing authority (see Interim Feasibility Report section titled "Work Categorization").

1. PLAN DESCRIPTION	ARGENTINE UNIT					FAIRFAX-JERSEY CREEK SHEETPILE WALL				EAST BOTTOMS UNDERSEEPAGE CONTROL MEASURES			
	Without Project (WO)	Evaluation and Characterization of the Alternatives				Without Project (WO)	Evaluation and Characterization of the Alternatives			Without Project (WO)	Evaluation and Characterization of the Alternatives		
	No Federal Action Alternative	Arg 1: Nominal 500+0 raise (TOL 778.24 at index pt)	Arg 2: Nominal 500+3 Raise (TOL 781.24 at index pt)	Arg 3: Nominal 500+5 Raise (TOL 783.24 at index pt)	Arg 4: No Raise, Pump Sta & Earthwork	No Federal Action Alternative	Jersey Cr Alt 2, New Closed Cell Sheetpile Wall	Jersey Cr Alt 3, New Wall, Auger Cast Piles & Tiebacks	Jersey Cr Alt 4, New Open Cell Sheetpile Wall	No Federal Action Alternative	Blue R. Confluence Site Alt 2, Sheetpile Wall	Blue R. Confluence Site Alt 3, Slurry Cut-Off Wall	Blue R. Confluence Site, Alt 4, Pressure Relief Wells
2. IMPACT ASSESSMENT													
A. Implementation Impacts													
* Ranking: 1 = Highest rank or can be considered "best" among the alternatives													
Real Estate (RE) Considerations		typical RE requirements -routine acquisition	typical RE requirements -routine acquisition	substantial RR coord & private RE impacts	a few small RE requirements		few if any RE requirements	few if any RE requirements	few if any RE requirements		few if any RE requirements	few if any RE requirements	few if any RE requirements
Residual Damage Characterization	Residual Damages Remain significant	Significantly reduced	Significantly reduced	Significantly reduced	Reduced	Residual Damages Remain significant	Significantly reduced	Significantly reduced	Significantly reduced	Residual Damages Remain significant	Significantly reduced	Significantly reduced	Significantly reduced
Residual Damage Ranking	5	3	2	1	4	4	1 (same for all alt)	1 (same for all alt)	1 (same for all alt)	4	1 (same for all alt)	1 (same for all alt)	1 (same for all alt)
B. National Economic Development (NED)													
Project Cost	NA	2	3	4	1	NA	3	2	1	NA	3	2	1
Total Average Annual Cost	NA	2	3	4	1	NA	3	2	1	NA	3	2	1
Other Direct Costs Including Induced Damages	NA	2	3	4	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Average Annual Benefits	NA	3	2	1	4	NA	1 (same for all alt)	1 (same for all alt)	1 (same for all alt)	NA	1 (same for all alt)	1 (same for all alt)	1 (same for all alt)
Benefit to Cost Ratio	NA	7.3	4.9	4.1	13.9	NA	15.6	17.6	19.8	NA	5.5	20.5	40.0
B/C Ranking	NA	2	3	4	1	NA	3	2	1	NA	3	2	1
Average Annual Net Benefits	NA	\$13,507.6	\$14,025.5	\$14,124.2	\$12,479.2	NA	\$9,744.2	\$9,819.9	\$9,884.4	NA	\$3,463.1	\$4,026.4	\$4,126.9
Net Benefits Ranking		2	1 (+3 & +5 Tie)	1 (+3 & +5 Tie)	3		3	2	1		3	2	1
C. Regional Economic Development (RED)		See Footnote	See Footnote	See Footnote	See Footnote		See Footnote	See Footnote	See Footnote		See Footnote	See Footnote	See Footnote
D. Environmental Quality (EQ)													
Air Quality	no immediate impact	Temporary impacts during construction	Temporary impacts during construction	Temporary impacts during construction	Temporary impacts during construction	no immediate impact	Temporary impacts during construction	Temporary impacts during construction	Temporary impacts during construction	no immediate impact	Temporary impacts during construction	Temporary impacts during construction	Temporary impacts during construction
Water Quality	no immediate impact possible adverse future impacts	Essentially no impact	Essentially no impact	Essentially no impact	Essentially no impact	no immediate impact possible adverse future impacts	Essentially no impact	Essentially no impact	Essentially no impact	no immediate impact possible adverse future impacts	Essentially no impact	Essentially no impact	Essentially no impact
Threatened & Endangered Species	no immediate impact	No impacts	No impacts	No impacts	No impacts	no immediate impact	No impacts	No impacts	No impacts	no immediate impact	No impacts	No impacts	No impacts
Aquatic Habitat	no immediate impact possible adverse future impacts	Essentially no impact	Essentially no impact	Essentially no impact	Essentially no impact	no immediate impact possible adverse future impacts	No impacts	No impacts	No impacts	no immediate impact possible adverse future impacts	No impacts	No impacts	No impacts
Wildlife Habitat	no immediate impact possible adverse future impacts	No impacts	No impacts	No impacts	No impacts	no immediate impact possible adverse future impacts	No impacts	No impacts	No impacts	no immediate impact possible adverse future impacts	No impacts	No impacts	No impacts
Wetlands	no immediate impact possible adverse future impacts	Very minor impacts to be mitigated	Very minor impacts to be mitigated	Very minor impacts to be mitigated	Very minor impacts to be mitigated	no immediate impact possible adverse future impacts	No impacts	No impacts	No impacts	no immediate impact possible adverse future impacts	No impacts	No impacts	No impacts
Vegetation	no immediate impact possible adverse future impacts	Very minor impacts to be mitigated	Very minor impacts to be mitigated	Very minor impacts to be mitigated	Very minor impacts to be mitigated	no immediate impact possible adverse future impacts	Temporary construction impacts	Temporary construction impacts	Temporary construction impacts	no immediate impact possible adverse future impacts	Temporary construction impacts	Temporary construction impacts	Temporary construction impacts
Contaminated Sites (HTRW & non-CERCLA Regulated wastes)	no immediate impact possible major adverse future impacts	Avoidance measures adopted; some nonCERCLA cleanup	Avoidance measures adopted; some nonCERCLA cleanup	Avoidance measures adopted; some nonCERCLA cleanup	Avoidance measures adopted; some nonCERCLA cleanup	no immediate impact	No impacts	No impacts	No impacts	no immediate impact possible major adverse future impacts	Avoidance measures adopted	Avoidance measures adopted	Avoidance measures adopted
Cultural Resources	no immediate impact	No impacts	No impacts	No impacts	No impacts	no immediate impact	No impacts	No impacts	No impacts		No impacts	No impacts	No impacts
E. Other Social Effects (OSE)													
Life, Health and Safety	no improvement	Major improvements	Major improvements	Major improvements	Major improvements		Major improvements	Major improvements	Major improvements		Major improvements	Major improvements	Major improvements
Community Cohesion	no improvement	Major improvements	Major improvements	Major improvements	Major improvements		Major improvements	Major improvements	Major improvements		Major improvements	Major improvements	Major improvements
Socio-economics		Contributes to stable/ improved socio-economic conditions	Contributes to stable/ improved socio-economic conditions	Contributes to stable/ improved socio-economic conditions	Contributes to stable/ improved socio-economic conditions		Contributes to stable/ improved socio-economic conditions	Contributes to stable/ improved socio-economic conditions	Contributes to stable/ improved socio-economic conditions		Contributes to stable/ improved socio-economic conditions	Contributes to stable/ improved socio-economic conditions	Contributes to stable/ improved socio-economic conditions
3. PLAN EVALUATION													
A. Contribution to Planning Objectives	does not meet planning objectives	very good	very good	very good	fair	does not meet planning objectives	+	+	+	does not meet planning objectives	+	+	+
B. Response to Planning Constraints													
(1) Financial Capability of Local Partners to Cost share Construction	NA	good	adequate	unknown	good	NA	good	good	good	NA	adequate	good	good
(2) Institutional Acceptability	does not meet constraints	good	adequate	marginal	good	does not meet constraints	good	good	good	does not meet constraints	adequate	good	good
C. Response to Evaluation Criteria													
Coding: += positive (desirable) implications based on the specific screening criteria -= negative (undesirable) implications based on the specific screening criteria /= neutral implications based on the specific screening criteria													
(1) Completeness		+	+	+	/		+	+	+		+	+	+
(2) Acceptability		+	+	+	+		+	+	+		+	/	+
(3) Effectiveness		+	+	+	+		+	+	+		+	+	+
(4) Efficiency		+	+	+	+		+	-	+		-	/	+

** RED, All Alternatives: Reduced flooding would enhance stability in employment in the Unit with potential for additional permanent employment opportunities; project construction would provide minor, short-term increase in construction employment; temporary increase in sales tax revenues during construction; property values would remain stable or improve, thereby increasing the local tax base; reductions in income attributable to flood damages, wage losses, traffic disruption costs, floodfight emergency expenditures. No known adverse impacts